

Crosstabs – Colorado Pols Mayoral Survey

March 2011

# **Methodology**

RBI Strategies & Research conducted a telephone survey of 400 Denver voters who indicated it was likely that they would vote in the May 2011 Municipal Election. Interviews were conducted March 21 – March 22, 2010 by Standage Market Research of Denver, Colorado, a market research firm specializing in telephone survey interviewing. Respondents were randomly selected from a list of Colorado voters, purchased from Voter Contact Services, who registered after the 2010 General Election or voted in the 2010 General Election and either 1) voted in at least one off-year election dating back to 2003 or 2) registered after 2009 off-year election.

The margin of error for a survey of 400 interviews is ±4.9% at the 95% confidence level. The margin of error is higher for subsamples within the full sample. Other sources of error not accounted for by the stated statistical margin of error include, but are not limited to, question wording, question order, and refusal to be interviewed.

Banners included in the following tables all have a margin of error of less than or equal to ±15% with the exception of:

- Labor household (Yes) Margin of error is ±16.1%
- Race and Ethnicity (Af-Am) Margin of error is ±17.9%
- FAVORABILITY: Mejia (Unfav) Margin of error is ±20.9%
- FAVORABILITY: Hancock (Unfav) Margin of error is ±25.3%
- FAVORABILITY: Linkhart (Unfav) Margin of error is ±16.8%
- FAVORABILITY: Spahn (Fav) Margin of error is ±19.6%
- FAVORABILITY: Spahn (Unfav) Margin of error is ±25.3%

## **Banner Definitions**

- Off year vote history (0/1 of 4) All respondents whose vote history dating back to 2003 indicates no prior off-year vote history or voting in one off-year election
- Off year vote history (2/3 of 4) All respondents whose vote history dating back to 2003 indicates voting in either two or three off-year elections
- Off year vote history (4 of 4) All respondents whose vote history indicates voting in all off-year elections dating back to 2003
- Council District (N/NW) All respondents registered to vote in the following city council districts: 1, 3 or 9
- Council District (SW) All respondents registered to vote in the following city council districts: 2 or 7
- Council District (SE) All respondents registered to vote in the following city council districts: 4 or 6
- Council District (NE) All respondents registered to vote in the following city council districts: 8 or 11
- Council District (Central) All respondents registered to vote in the following city council districts: 5 or 10
- Hard Name ID (None) All respondents who were unable to provide hard name ID for any of the candidates running for Mayor of Denver.

Table 5: Likelihood to vote

		Gei	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likeli	hood		Age		Labor ho	usehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Absolutely certain	270	114	156	171	53	46	270	0	43	125	97	29	238	203	27	30
_	68%	63%	71%	73%	62%	58%	100%	0%	47%	74%	74%	78%	67%	66%	90%	64%
		42%	58%	63%	20%	17%	100%	0%	16%	46%	36%	11%	888	75%	10%	11%
Very likely	85	48	37	42	21	22	0	85	33	32	19	3	80	69	3	11
	21%	27%	17%	18%	24%	28%	0%	65%	36%	19%	15%	8%	22%	22%	10%	23%
		56%	44%	49%	25%	26%	0%	100%	39%	38%	22%	4%	94%	81%	4%	13%
Drobobly	45	19	26	21	12	12	0	45	16	12	15	5	39	37	0	6
Probably	11%	10%	12%	9%	14%	15%	0%	35%	17%	7%	11%	14%	11%	12%	0%	13%
	110	42%	58%	47%	27%	27%	0%	100%	36%	27%	33%	11%	87%	82%	0%	13%
		120	300	1,0	2,0	2,0	0 0	1000	300	2,0	330	110	0,70	020		130
Mean	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.0	2.3	1.7	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.1	1.5
Chi Square			49		8.42			.00		25.91		4.			8.17	
		.0	164		.077		.0	01		.001		.1	27		.086	

Table 5b: Likelihood to vote

						Direct	ion of								
		M	lost impo	rtant issu	ıe	Der	iver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Co	uncil Dist	rict	
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong								
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Absolutely certain	270 68%	103 69%	61 62%	51 74%	44 67%	173 66%	68 72%	50 48%	105 70%	115 79%	54 72%	37 54%	67 73%	45 63%	67 73%
		38%	23%	19%	16%	64%	25%	19%	39%	43%	20%	14%	25%	17%	25%
Very likely	85	27	28	13	13	63	15	30	32	23	15	19	18	17	16
	21%	18% 32%	28% 33%	19% 15%	20% 15%	24% 74%	16% 18%	29% 35%	21% 38%	16% 27%	20% 18%	28% 22%	20% 21%	24% 20%	17% 19%
Probably	45 11%	19 13%	10 10%	5 7%	9 14%	25 10%	12 13%	25 24%	13 9%	7 5%	6 8%	13 19%	7 8%	10 14%	9
	110	42%	22%	11%	20%	56%	27%	56%	29%	16%	13%	29%	16%	22%	20%
Mean	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.8	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.5	1.4
Chi Square				99 124			13 09		34.84				11.47 .176		

Table 5c: Likelihood to vote

		Hard Name ID		ABILITY:	FAVORA We			ABILITY:	FAVORA Ror		FAVOR/			ABILITY:	FAVORA Link	ABILITY:
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Absolutely certain	270 68%	70 56% 26%	206 67% 76%	37 71% 14%	175 68% 65%	47 71% 17%	166 71% 61%	50 66% 19%	124 78% 46%	31 69% 11%	73 72% 27%	17 77% 6%	68 79% 25%	12 80% 4%	63 74% 23%	28 82% 10%
Very likely	85 21%	35 28% 41%	70 23% 82%	7 13% 8%	57 22% 67%	12 18% 14%	49 21% 58%	14 18% 16%	25 16% 29%	8 18% 9%	21 21% 25%	4 18% 5%	14 16% 16%	1 7% 1%	20 24% 24%	2 6% 2%
Probably	45 11%	21 17% 47%	32 10% 71%	8 15% 18%	25 10% 56%	7 11% 16%	19 8% 42%	12 16% 27%	11 7% 24%	6 13% 13%	8 8% 18%	1 5% 2%	4 5% 9%	2 13% 4%	2 2% 4%	4 12% 9%
Mean	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
Chi Square				91 34	0. .7	51 76		77 52	2.	21 31	0.	40 17		42 99	8.	57 14

Table 5d: Likelihood to vote

		_		FAVORA	
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
Absolutely certain	270	54	35	20	12
,	68%	72%	80%	80%	80%
		20%	13%	7%	4%
Very likely	85	19	4	4	0
	21%	25%	9%	16%	0%
		22%	5%	5%	0%
Probably	45	2	5	1	3
	11%	3%	11%	4%	20%
		4%	11%	2%	7%
Mean	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.4
Chi Square			56	4.	
		.0	23	.0	91

Table 6: Direction of Denver

		Gei	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likeli	ihood		Age		Labor ho	usehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Right direction	261	114	147	161	44	56	173	88	73	103	79	23	235	209	17	27
3	65%	63%	67%	69%	51%	70%	64%	68%	79%	61%	60%	62%	66%	68%	57%	57%
		44%	56%	62%	17%	21%	66%	34%	28%	39%	30%	9%	90%	80%	7%	10%
Wrong track	95	49	46	45	34	16	68	27	14	47	33	11	82	68	9	13
	24%	27%	21%	19%	40%	20%	25%	21%	15%	28%	25%	30%	23%	22%	30%	28%
		52%	48%	47%	36%	17%	72%	28%	15%	49%	35%	12%	86%	72%	9%	14%
Unsure/DK	44	18	26	28	8	8	29	15	5	19	19	3	40	32	4	7
	11%	10%	12%	12%	9%	10%	11%	12%	5%	11%	15%	8%	11%	10%	13%	15%
		41%	59%	64%	18%	18%	66%	34%	11%	43%	43%	7%	91%	73%	9%	16%
					0 -										0.5	
Mean	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.2	1.8	2.3	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.5	2.4
Chi Square			13		15.35			95		11.91		1.			3.11	
		.3	345		.004		. 6	23		.018		.6	03		.539	

Table 6b: Direction of Denver

						Direct	tion of								
		N	lost impo	rtant issu	ıe	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Co	uncil Dist	rict	
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong								
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Right direction	261	100	73	42	38	261	0	67	99	95	40	34	72	48	67
	65%	67% 38%	74% 28%	61% 16%	58% 15%	100% 100%	0% 0%	64% 26%	66% 38%	66% 36%	53% 15%	49% 13%	78% 28%	67% 18%	73% 26%
Wrong track	95	34	18	20	18	0	95	25	35	35	23	23	15	16	18
	24%	23% 36%	18% 19%	29% 21%	27% 19%	0% 0%	100% 100%	24% 26%	23% 37%	24% 37%	31% 24%	33% 24%	16% 16%	22% 17%	20% 19%
Unsure/DK	44 11%	15 10%	8 8%	7 10%	10 15%	0 0%	0 0%	13 12%	16 11%	15 10%	12 16%	12 17%	5 5%	8 11%	7 8%
	110	34%	18%	16%	23%	0%	0%	30%	36%	34%	27%	27%	11%	18%	16%
Mean	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.3	2.5	1.2	3.4	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.7	1.9	2.2	2.0
Chi Square				37 83			.00		0.32				22.44		

Table 6c: Direction of Denver

		Hard Name	FAVOR	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVOR	ABILITY:	FAVOR	ABILITY:
		ID	Hicker	looper	We	bb	Pe	na	Ror	mer	Me	jia	Han	cock	Link	hart
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Right direction	261 65%	82 65% 31%	222 72% 85%	15 29% 6%	182 71% 70%	32 48% 12%	171 73% 66%	30 39% 11%	112 70% 43%	22 49% 8%	73 72% 28%	11 50% 4%	57 66% 22%	5 33% 2%	57 67% 22%	20 59% 8%
Wrong track	95 24%	27 21% 28%	53 17% 56%	31 60% 33%	49 19% 52%	27 41% 28%	39 17% 41%	38 50% 40%	32 20% 34%	18 40% 19%	17 17% 18%	9 41% 9%	20 23% 21%	8 53% 8%	20 24% 21%	12 35% 13%
Unsure/DK	44 11%	17 13% 39%	33 11% 75%	6 12% 14%	26 10% 59%	7 11% 16%	24 10% 55%	8 11% 18%	16 10% 36%	5 11% 11%	12 12% 27%	2 9% 5%	9 10% 20%	2 13% 5%	8 9% 18%	2 6% 5%
Mean	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.9	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.8	2.1	2.6	2.0	2.5	2.2	2.8	2.2	2.1
Chi Square				.95 01	14.	.61 01		.66 01		20 17	6. .0	43 40		52 38		86 94

Table 6d: Direction of Denver

		FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
Right direction	261	55	22	15	6
	65%	73%	50%	60%	40%
		21%	8%	6%	2%
Wrong track	95	16	17	7	7
	24%	21%	39%	28%	47%
		17%	18%	7%	7%
Unsure/DK	44	4	5	3	2
	11%	5%	11%	12%	13%
		9%	11%	7%	5%
	2 2	1 0	0 5	2 2	0 5
Mean	2.2	1.9	2.5	2.2	2.5
Chi Square			66		66
		.0	36	. 4	36

Table 7: FAVORABILITY: John Hickenlooper

		Ge	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likel	ihood		Age		Labor ho	ousehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181 45%	219 55%	234 59%	86 22%	80 20%	270 68%	130 33%	92 23%	169 42%	131 33%	37 9%	357 89%	309 77%	30 8%	47 12%
Favorable	308 77%	131 72% 43%	177 81% 57%	201 86% 65%	50 58% 16%	57 71% 19%	206 76% 67%	102 78% 33%	73 79% 24%	131 78% 43%	98 75% 32%	32 86% 10%	275 77% 89%	240 78% 78%	26 87% 8%	32 68% 10%
Unfavorable	52 13%	26 14% 50%	26 12% 50%	20 9% 38%	22 26% 42%	10 13% 19%	37 14% 71%	15 12% 29%	9 10% 17%	25 15% 48%	18 14% 35%	4 11% 8%	45 13% 87%	40 13% 77%	1 3% 2%	9 19% 17%
Unfam/No opin/DK	40 10%	24 13% 60%	16 7% 40%	13 6% 33%	14 16% 35%	13 16% 33%	27 10% 68%	13 10% 33%	10 11% 25%	13 8% 33%	15 11% 38%	1 3% 3%	37 10% 93%	29 9% 73%	3 10% 8%	6 13% 15%
Mean	2.1	2.3	2.0	1.8	2.7	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.3	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.4
Chi Square			. 90 )86		31.92 .001			37 31		2.56 .634		2.	51 85		4.87 .301	

Table 7b: FAVORABILITY: John Hickenlooper

						Direct	tion of								
		N	lost impo	rtant issu	ıe	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Co	uncil Dist	rict	
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong								
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Favorable	308 77%	113 76% 37%	87 88% 28%	51 74% 17%	44 67% 14%	222 85% 72%	53 56% 17%	82 78% 27%	113 75% 37%	113 78% 37%	50 67% 16%	58 84% 19%	73 79% 24%	58 81% 19%	69 75% 22%
Unfavorable	52 13%	23 15% 44%	7 7% 13%	7 10% 13%	12 18% 23%	15 6% 29%	31 33% 60%	10 10% 19%	21 14% 40%	21 14% 40%	17 23% 33%	6 9% 12%	9 10% 17%	7 10% 13%	13 14% 25%
Unfam/No opin/DK	40 10%	13 9% 33%	5 5% 13%	11 16% 28%	10 15% 25%	24 9% 60%	11 12% 28%	13 12% 33%	16 11% 40%	11 8% 28%	8 11% 20%	5 7% 13%	10 11% 25%	7 10% 18%	10 11% 25%
Mean	2.1	2.2	1.7	2.4	2.4	1.9	2.7	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.4	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.2
Chi Square				.49 125			.09 01		2.93 .570				10.21 .250		

Table 7c: FAVORABILITY: John Hickenlooper

		Hard Name ID	_	ABILITY:	FAVOR/ We		-	ABILITY:	FAVORA Ror		FAVORA Me		_	ABILITY:	FAVORA Link	ABILITY:
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Favorable	308 77%	85 67% 28%	308 100% 100%	0 0% 0%	219 85% 71%	37 56% 12%	207 88% 67%	43 57% 14%	136 85% 44%	28 62% 9%	84 82% 27%	12 55% 4%	69 80% 22%	12 80% 4%	75 88% 24%	22 65% 7%
Unfavorable	52 13%	14 11% 27%	0 0% 0%	52 100% 100%	25 10% 48%	22 33% 42%	17 7% 33%	26 34% 50%	16 10% 31%	14 31% 27%	11 11% 21%	9 41% 17%	12 14% 23%	3 20% 6%	7 8% 13%	12 35% 23%
Unfam/No opin/DK	40 10%	27 21% 68%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	13 5% 33%	7 11% 18%	10 4% 25%	7 9% 18%	8 5% 20%	3 7% 8%	7 7% 18%	1 5% 3%	5 6% 13%	0 0% 0%	3 4% 8%	0 0% 0%
Mean	2.1	2.7	1.4	3.5	1.8	2.6	1.7	2.7	1.8	2.5	1.9	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.7	2.1
Chi Square				.00		.35 01	39 .0	.81 01	13.		12.	.14 02		19 52		.99 01

Table 7d: FAVORABILITY: John Hickenlooper

		FAVORA Boi		FAVORA Spa	
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75 19%	44 11%	25 6%	15 4%
Favorable	308	67	25	20	9
	77%	89%	57%	80%	60%
		22%	8%	6%	3%
Unfavorable	52	6	17	5	6
	13%	8%	39%	20%	40%
		12%	33%	10%	12%
Unfam/No	40	2	2	0	0
opin/DK	10%	3%	5%	0%	0%
opbit		5%	5%	0%	0%
Mean	2.1	1.7	2.3	1.7	2.2
Chi Square			.55 01	1.	88 90

Table 8: FAVORABILITY: Wellington Webb

		Ge	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likel	ihood		Age		Labor ho	usehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181 45%	219 55%	234 59%	86 22%	80 20%	270 68%	130 33%	92 23%	169 42%	131 33%	37 9%	357 89%	309 77%	30 8%	47 12%
		456	33%	396	225	20%	000	335	235	425	33%	96	095	115	0.5	125
Favorable	257	109	148	171	37	49	175	82	55	115	84	20	233	193	24	31
	64%	60%	68%	73%	43%	61%	65%	63%	60%	68%	64%	54%	65%	62%	80%	66%
		42%	58%	67%	14%	19%	68%	32%	21%	45%	33%	8%	91%	75%	9%	12%
Unfavorable	66	36	30	26	31	9	47	19	8	32	24	9	56	55	1	8
	17%	20%	14%	11%	36%	11%	17%	15%	9%	19%	18%	24%	16%	18%	3%	17%
		55%	45%	39%	47%	14%	71%	29%	12%	48%	36%	14%	85%	83%	2%	12%
Unfam/No	77	36	41	37	18	22	48	2.9	29	22	23	8	68	61	5	8
opin/DK	19%	20%	19%	16%	21%	28%	18%	22%	32%	13%	18%	22%	19%	20%	17%	17%
Opin/Bit		47%	53%	48%	23%	29%	62%	38%	38%	29%	30%	10%	88%	79%	6%	10%
Mean	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.4	3.2	3.0	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.5	2.7	3.0	2.7	2.8	2.2	2.5
Chi Square			.21		38.74			39		15.93		2.			5.06	
		• 4	201		.001		. 4	99		.003		. 3	19		.282	

Table 8b: FAVORABILITY: Wellington Webb

						Direct	tion of								
		N	lost impo	rtant issu	ıe	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Co	uncil Dist	rict	
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong								
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Favorable	257 64%	106 71% 41%	67 68% 26%	36 52% 14%	39 59% 15%	182 70% 71%	49 52% 19%	61 58% 24%	95 63% 37%	101 70% 39%	50 67% 19%	44 64% 17%	53 58% 21%	50 69% 19%	60 65% 23%
Unfavorable	66 17%	20 13% 30%	6 6% 9%	18 26% 27%	17 26% 26%	32 12% 48%	27 28% 41%	10 10% 15%	35 23% 53%	21 14% 32%	12 16% 18%	13 19% 20%	17 18% 26%	10 14% 15%	14 15% 21%
Unfam/No opin/DK	77 19%	23 15% 30%	26 26% 34%	15 22% 19%	10 15% 13%	47 18% 61%	19 20% 25%	34 32% 44%	20 13% 26%	23 16% 30%	13 17% 17%	12 17% 16%	22 24% 29%	12 17% 16%	18 20% 23%
Mean	2.7	2.5	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.5	3.0	3.1	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	3.0	2.5	2.7
Chi Square				.63 01			.66 01		21.99 .001				3.43 .905		

Table 8c: FAVORABILITY: Wellington Webb

		Hard Name ID			_		_		_		_		_		FAVOR	
	Total	None	Fav	looper Unfav	Fav	bb Unfav	Fav	na Unfav	Fav	mer Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	cock Unfav	Fav	thart
			_							Uniav 45	_		<b>Fav</b> 86		_	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	11%	102 26%	22 6%	22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
		3∠%	118	13%	648	1/8	59%	19%	40%	11%	26%	68	228	48	21%	9%
Favorable	257	69	219	25	257	0	197	27	120	20	78	9	66	10	63	23
	64%	55%	71%	48%	100%	0%	84%	36%	75%	44%	76%	41%	77%	67%	74%	68%
		27%	85%	10%	100%	0%	77%	11%	47%	8%	30%	4%	26%	4%	25%	9%
Unfavorable	66	15	37	22	0	66	21	40	24	19	14	11	12	3	14	9
Omavorabic	17%	12%	12%	42%	0%	100%	9%	53%	15%	42%	14%	50%	14%	2.0%	16%	26%
		23%	56%	33%	0%	100%	32%	61%	36%	29%	21%	17%	18%	5%	21%	14%
Unfam/No	77	42	52	5	0	0	16	9	16	6	10	2	8	2.	8	2
	19%	33%	17%	10%	0%	0%	7%	12%	10%	13%	10%	9%	9%	13%	9%	6%
opin/DK		55%	68%	6%	0%	0%	21%	12%	21%	8%	13%	3%	10%	3%	10%	3%
Mean	2.7	3.2	2.5	2.8	1.6	3.5	2.0	3.2	2.3	3.0	2.2	3.0	2.2	2.6	2.3	2.4
Chi Square				.88		.00		.15 01		.57		.08 01	0.	70 06		76 15

Table 8d: FAVORABILITY: Wellington Webb

		FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
Favorable	257	62	25	15	9
ravulable	64%	83%	57%	60%	60%
	010	24%	10%	6%	4%
			200		
Unfavorable	66	5	13	4	5
	17%	7%	30%	16%	33%
		8%	20%	6%	8%
Unfam/No	77	8	6	6	1
opin/DK	19%	11%	14%	24%	7%
opin/DK		10%	8%	8%	1%
					0 6
Mean	2.7	2.2	2.8	2.7	2.6
Chi Square		12.		2.	
		.0	02	.2	39

Table 9: FAVORABILITY: Federico Pena

		Gei	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likel	ihood		Age		Labor ho	usehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Favorable	234	102	132	163	30	41	166	68	45	106	80	23	209	172	24	29
	59%	56%	60%	70%	35%	51%	61%	52%	49%	63%	61%	62%	59%	56%	80%	62%
		44%	56%	70%	13%	18%	71%	29%	19%	45%	34%	10%	89%	74%	10%	12%
Unfavorable	76	43	33	22	38	16	50	26	9	32	34	9	66	66	0	9
	19%	24%	15%	9%	44%	20%	19%	20%	10%	19%	26%	24%	18%	21%	0%	19%
		57%	43%	29%	50%	21%	66%	34%	12%	42%	45%	12%	87%	87%	0%	12%
Unfam/No	90	36	54	49	18	23	54	36	38	31	17	5	82	71	6	9
opin/DK	23%	20%	25%	21%	21%	29%	20%	28%	41%	18%	13%	14%	23%	23%	20%	19%
		40%	60%	54%	20%	26%	60%	40%	42%	34%	19%	6%	91%	79%	7%	10%
Maan	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.6	3.4	3.2	2.8	3.1	3.4	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.9	3.0	2.4	2.6
Mean						0.5								0.0		
Chi Square			20		55.73 .001			67 59		30.99 .001		2.	03 62		9.65 .047	

Table 9b: FAVORABILITY: Federico Pena

		N.	lost impo	ortant issu	10		tion of	Off v	ear vote h	ietony		Cou	uncil Dist	riot	
		Jobs/	lost impo	City		Right	Wrong	On ye	ear vote n	istory		Col	IIICII DISI	iici	
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Favorable	234 59%	87 58%	66 67%	32 46%	38 58%	171 66%	39 41%	53 50%	87 58%	94 65%	42 56%	40 58%	54 59%	49 68%	49 53%
		37%	28%	14%	16%	73%	17%	23%	37%	40%	18%	17%	23%	21%	21%
Unfavorable	76	32	7	18	15	30	38	11	34	31	18	19	16	8	15
	19%	21% 42%	7% 9%	26% 24%	23% 20%	11% 39%	40% 50%	10% 14%	23% 45%	21% 41%	24% 24%	28% 25%	17% 21%	11% 11%	16% 20%
Unfam/No	90	30	26	19	13	60	18	41	29	20	15	10	22	15	28
opin/DK	23%	20% 33%	26% 29%	28% 21%	20% 14%	23% 67%	19% 20%	39% 46%	19% 32%	14% 22%	20% 17%	14% 11%	24% 24%	21% 17%	30% 31%
Mean	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.2	2.8	2.7	3.2	3.4	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.7	3.0	2.6	3.2
Chi Square				.11 )19			.22 01		26.00 .001				13.03 .111		

Table 9c: FAVORABILITY: Federico Pena

		Hard Name	_		FAVORA		_		_		_		_		_	
		ID	Hicker	looper	We	bb	Pe	na	Roi	mer	Me	jia	Han	cock	Link	hart
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126	308	52	257	66	234	76	160	45	102	22	86	15	85	34
		32%	77%	13%	64%	17%	59%	19%	40%	11%	26%	6%	22%	4%	21%	9%
Favorable	234	55	207	17	197	21	234	0	125	20	74	5	63	9	65	15
Tavorable	59%	44%	67%	33%	77%	32%	100%	0%	78%	44%	73%	23%	73%	60%	76%	44%
		24%	88%	7%	84%	9%	100%	0%	53%	9%	32%	2%	27%	4%	28%	6%
Unfavorable	76	19	43	26	27	40	0	76	18	23	13	15	14	4	11	14
	19%	15%	14%	50%	11%	61%	0%	100%	11%	51%	13%	68%	16%	27%	13%	41%
		25%	57%	34%	36%	53%	0%	100%	24%	30%	17%	20%	18%	5%	14%	18%
Unfam/No	90	52	58	9	33	5	0	0	17	2	15	2	9	2	9	5
opin/DK	23%	41%	19%	17%	13%	8%	0%	0%	11%	4%	15%	9%	10%	13%	11%	15%
		58%	64%	10%	37%	6%	0%	0%	19%	2%	17%	2%	10%	2%	10%	6%
Mean	2.9	3.6	2.6	3.4	2.3	3.2	1.6	3.5	2.2	2.7	2.4	3.3	2.3	2.9	2.2	2.9
Chi Square				.72	80.			.00		. 98	32.			18		.35
			.0	01	.0	01	.0	01	.0	01	.0	0.1	.5	53	.0	01

Table 9d: FAVORABILITY: Federico Pena

		_		FAVORA	
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
	001		0.4	4.0	
Favorable	234	52	21	18	6
	59%	69%	48%	72%	40%
		22%	9%	8%	3%
Unfavorable	76	11	17	3	6
	19%	15%	39%	12%	40%
		14%	22%	4%	8%
Unfam/No	90	12	6	4	3
opin/DK	23%	16%	14%	16%	20%
		13%	7%	4%	3%
	0 0	0 4	2 0	٥. ٦	2 0
Mean	2.9	2.4	3.0	2.5	3.2
Chi Square		8.	98	4.	95
'		.0	11	.0	84

Table 10: FAVORABILITY: Chris Romer

		Ge	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likel	ihood		Age		Labor ho	usehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Favorable	160	67	93	111	20	29	124	36	24	74	60	15	142	132	7	16
	40%	37%	42%	47%	23%	36%	46%	28%	26%	44%	46%	41%	40%	43%	23%	34%
		42%	58%	69%	13%	18%	78%	23%	15%	46%	38%	9%	89%	83%	4%	10%
Unfavorable	45	26	19	21	17	7	31	14	9	23	12	7	38	37	1	5
	11%	14%	9%	9%	20%	9%	11%	11%	10%	14%	9%	19%	11%	12%	3%	11%
		58%	42%	47%	38%	16%	69%	31%	20%	51%	27%	16%	84%	82%	2%	11%
Unfam/No	195	88	107	102	49	44	115	80	59	72	59	15	177	140	22	26
opin/DK	49%	49%	49%	44%	57%	55%	43%	62%	64%	43%	45%	41%	50%	45%	73%	55%
<b>Sp2.</b> (		45%	55%	52%	25%	23%	59%	41%	30%	37%	30%	8%	91%	72%	11%	13%
				0 -			0.5			0 6		0.6				
Mean	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.5	4.3	3.9	3.5	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.6	4.7	4.0
Chi Square			.59		19.72			.79		13.80		2.			9.79	•
			.66		.001		. 0	01		.008		.2	76		.044	

Table 10b: FAVORABILITY: Chris Romer

							tion of								
		N	1ost impo	rtant issu	ıe	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Cou	uncil Dist	rict	
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong								
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Favorable	160	51	46	29	26	112	32	28	58	74	21	35	44	18	42
	40%	34% 32%	46% 29%	42% 18%	39% 16%	43% 70%	34% 20%	27% 18%	39% 36%	51% 46%	28% 13%	51% 22%	48% 28%	25% 11%	46% 26%
Unfavorable	45	17	6	8	12	22	18	11	14	20	10	8	11	10	6
	11%	11% 38%	6% 13%	12% 18%	18% 27%	8% 49%	19% 40%	10% 24%	9% 31%	14% 44%	13% 22%	12% 18%	12% 24%	14% 22%	7% 13%
Unfam/No	195	81	47	32	28	127	45	66	78	51	44	26	37	44	44
opin/DK	49%	54% 42%	478 248	46% 16%	42% 14%	49% 65%	47% 23%	63% 34%	52% 40%	35% 26%	59% 23%	38% 13%	40% 19%	61% 23%	48% 23%
Mean	3.8	4.0	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.9	4.3	3.8	3.3	4.3	3.3	3.5	4.3	3.6
Chi Square				17 .64			35 15		20.62				20.38		

Table 10c: FAVORABILITY: Chris Romer

		Hard Name ID	_	ABILITY:	FAVOR/ We		_	ABILITY:	FAVOR/ Ror		FAVORA Me		_	ABILITY: cock	FAVORA Link	ABILITY:
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Favorable	160 40%	0 0% 0%	136 44% 85%	16 31% 10%	120 47% 75%	24 36% 15%	125 53% 78%	18 24% 11%	160 100% 100%	0 0% 0%	61 60% 38%	8 36% 5%	51 59% 32%	8 53% 5%	57 67% 36%	19 56% 12%
Unfavorable	45 11%	0 0% 0%	28 9% 62%	14 27% 31%	20 8% 44%	19 29% 42%	20 9% 44%	23 30% 51%	0 0% 0%	45 100% 100%	17 17% 38%	8 36% 18%	11 13% 24%	3 20% 7%	10 12% 22%	8 24% 18%
Unfam/No opin/DK	195 49%	126 100% 65%	144 47% 74%	22 42% 11%	117 46% 60%	23 35% 12%	89 38% 46%	35 46% 18%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	24 24% 12%	6 27% 3%	24 28% 12%	4 27% 2%	18 21% 9%	7 21% 4%
Mean	3.8	5.6	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.3	4.1	1.6	3.5	2.9	3.5	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.9
Chi Square				.20 01		.83 01		.42	205			37 68		56 '54		70 59

Table 10d: FAVORABILITY: Chris Romer

		EV//OD/	ARII ITV:	FAVORA	ARII ITV:
		Boi		Spa	
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
Favorable	160	46	22	17	10
	40%	61%	50%	68%	67%
		29%	14%	11%	6%
Unfavorable	45	7	11	4	3
	11%	9%	25%	16%	20%
		16%	24%	9%	7%
Unfam/No	195	22	11	4	2
opin/DK	49%	29%	25%	16%	13%
Ориивіх		11%	6%	2%	1%
Mean	3.8	2.9	3.2	2.6	2.6
Chi Square		5.	31	0.	13
		.0	70	.9	36

Table 11: FAVORABILITY: James Mejia

		Ge	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likel	ihood		Age		Labor ho	ousehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Favorable	102	50	52	77	15	10	73	29	20	50	29	11	90	80	5	11
	26%	28%	24%	33%	17%	13%	27%	22%	22%	30%	22%	30%	25%	26%	17%	23%
		49%	51%	75%	15%	10%	72%	28%	20%	49%	28%	11%	88%	78%	5%	11%
Unfavorable	22	11	11	9	9	4	17	5	3	11	7	3	19	18	1	3
	6%	6%	5%	4%	10%	5%	6%	4%	3%	7%	5%	8%	5%	6%	3%	6%
		50%	50%	41%	41%	18%	77%	23%	14%	50%	32%	14%	86%	82%	5%	14%
Unfam/No	276	120	156	148	62	66	180	96	69	108	95	23	248	211	24	33
opin/DK	69%	66%	71%	63%	72%	83%	67%	74%	75%	64%	73%	62%	69%	68%	80%	70%
Sp2.1		43%	57%	54%	22%	24%	65%	35%	25%	39%	34%	8%	90%	76%	9%	12%
Mean	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.4
Chi Square	1.14				20.93			38		4.72			99		1.87	
		• 5	567		.001		.3	04		.318		. 6	09		.760	

Table 11b: FAVORABILITY: James Mejia

							tion of								
		N.	lost impo	rtant issu	ie	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Cou	uncil Dist	rict	
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong								
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Favorable	102	34	26	17	19	73	17	20	34	48	14	13	25	23	27
	26%	23% 33%	26% 25%	25% 17%	29% 19%	28% 72%	18% 17%	19% 20%	23% 33%	33% 47%	19% 14%	19% 13%	27% 25%	32% 23%	29% 26%
Unfavorable	22	8	1	7	6	11	9	5	11	6	7	4	3	1	7
	6%	5% 36%	1% 5%	10% 32%	9% 27%	4% 50%	9% 41%	5% 23%	7% 50%	4% 27%	9% 32%	6% 18%	3% 14%	1% 5%	8% 32%
Unfam/No	276	107	72	45	41	177	69	80	105	91	54	52	64	48	58
opin/DK	69%	72% 39%	73% 26%	65% 16%	62% 15%	68% 64%	73% 25%	76% 29%	70% 38%	63% 33%	72% 20%	75% 19%	70% 23%	67% 17%	63% 21%
Mean	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.1
Chi Square				.63			46 40		8.61 .072				11.23 .189		

Table 11c: FAVORABILITY: James Mejia

		Hard Name ID	_	ABILITY:	FAVORA We		_	ABILITY:	FAVORA Ror		FAVORA Me		_	ABILITY: cock	FAVORA Link	ABILITY:
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Favorable	102 26%	0 0% 0%	84 27% 82%	11 21% 11%	78 30% 76%	14 21% 14%	74 32% 73%	13 17% 13%	61 38% 60%	17 38% 17%	102 100% 100%	0 0% 0%	47 55% 46%	7 47% 7%	35 41% 34%	11 32% 11%
Unfavorable	22 6%	0 0% 0%	12 4% 55%	9 17% 41%	9 4% 41%	11 17% 50%	5 2% 23%	15 20% 68%	8 5% 36%	8 18% 36%	0 0% 0%	22 100% 100%	4 5% 18%	3 20% 14%	7 8% 32%	8 24% 36%
Unfam/No opin/DK	276 69%	126 100% 46%	212 69% 77%	32 62% 12%	170 66% 62%	41 62% 15%	155 66% 56%	48 63% 17%	91 57% 33%	20 44% 7%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	35 41% 13%	5 33% 2%	43 51% 16%	15 44% 5%
Mean	4.4	5.5	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.4	3.8	3.7	1.6	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.7	3.7
Chi Square			14	.70 01	16	.37 01		.94 01	8.	35 15		.00		67 197		20 74

Table 11d: FAVORABILITY: James Mejia

		FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
	1.00	2.0	1.4	1.0	0
Favorable	102	38	14	12	8
	26%	51%	32%	48%	53%
		37%	14%	12%	8%
	0.0			-	
Unfavorable	22	5	11	1	4
	6%	7%	25%	4%	27%
		23%	50%	5%	18%
Unfam/No	276	32	19	12	3
opin/DK	69%	43%	43%	48%	20%
Ориили		12%	7%	4%	1%
	4 4	2 2	2 7	2 5	2 1
Mean	4.4	3.3	3.7	3.5	3.1
Chi Square		9.		5.	
•		.0	10	.0	53

Table 12: FAVORABILITY: Michael Hancock

		Ge	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likel	ihood		Age		Labor ho	usehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Favorable	86	38	48	59	10	17	68	18	21	39	25	7	76	58	14	8
	22%	21%	22%	25%	12%	21%	25%	14%	23%	23%	19%	19%	21%	19%	47%	17%
		44%	56%	69%	12%	20%	79%	21%	24%	45%	29%	8%	88%	67%	16%	9%
Unfavorable	15	7	8	12	1	2	12	3	1	9	5	5	10	10	1	3
	4%	4%	4%	5%	1%	3%	4%	2%	1%	5%	4%	14%	3%	3%	3%	6%
		47%	53%	80%	7%	13%	80%	20%	7%	60%	33%	33%	67%	67%	7%	20%
Unfam/No	299	136	163	163	75	61	190	109	70	121	101	25	271	241	15	36
opin/DK	75%	75%	74%	70%	87%	76%	70%	84%	76%	72%	77%	68%	76%	78%	50%	77%
<b>Op</b>		45%	55%	55%	25%	20%	64%	36%	23%	40%	34%	8%	91%	81%	5%	12%
Mean	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	5.0	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.7	3.6	4.6
Chi Square		Ω	.06		11.08		8	45		3.75		10.	51		14.58	
On Square			971		.026			15		.441			05		.006	

Table 12b: FAVORABILITY: Michael Hancock

						Direct	tion of									
		M	lost impo	rtant issu	ıe	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Co	uncil Dist	rict		
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong									
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central	
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%	
Favorable	86 22%	30 20% 35%	24 24% 28%	15 22% 17%	13 20% 15%	57 22% 66%	20 21% 23%	18 17% 21%	29 19% 34%	39 27% 45%	10 13% 12%	7 10% 8%	19 21% 22%	28 39% 33%	22 24% 26%	
Unfavorable	15 4%	6 4% 40%	0 0% 0%	5 7% 33%	3 5% 20%	5 2% 33%	8 8% 53%	4 4% 27%	8 5% 53%	3 2% 20%	6 8% 40%	4 6% 27%	1 1% 7%	2 3% 13%	2 2% 13%	
Unfam/No opin/DK	299 75%	113 76% 38%	75 76% 25%	49 71% 16%	50 76% 17%	199 76% 67%	67 71% 22%	83 79% 28%	113 75% 38%	103 71% 34%	59 79% 20%	58 84% 19%	72 78% 24%	42 58% 14%	68 74% 23%	
Mean	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	3.9	4.5	
Chi Square	7.00 .321						40 15		5.85 .211				27.49 .001	-		

Table 12c: FAVORABILITY: Michael Hancock

		Hard Name			_	FAVORABILITY: FAVO			_		_		_		_	
		ID	Hicken	llooper	We	ebb	Pe	na	Roi	mer	Me	ejia	Han	cock	Link	hart
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Favorable	86 22%	0 0% 0%	69 22% 80%	12 23% 14%	66 26% 77%	12 18% 14%	63 27% 73%	14 18% 16%	51 32% 59%	11 24% 13%	47 46% 55%	4 18% 5%	86 100% 100%	0 0% 0%	29 34% 34%	12 35% 14%
Unfavorable	15 4%	0 0% 0%	12 4% 80%	3 6% 20%	10 4% 67%	3 5% 20%	9 4% 60%	4 5% 27%	8 5% 53%	3 7% 20%	7 7% 47%	3 14% 20%	0 0% 0%	15 100% 100%	4 5% 27%	5 15% 33%
Unfam/No opin/DK	299 75%	126 100% 42%	227 748 768	37 71% 12%	181 70% 61%	51 77% 17%	162 69% 54%	58 76% 19%	101 63% 34%	31 69% 10%	48 47% 16%	15 68% 5%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	52 61% 17%	17 50% 6%
Mean	4.6	5.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.1	4.4	3.5	4.5	1.7	3.3	4.0	3.7
Chi Square				42 09		62 45		35 09		00		04 49	101	.00 01		74 54

Table 12d: FAVORABILITY: Michael Hancock

		FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
Favorable	86	29	18	16	6
	22%	39%	41%	64%	40%
		34%	21%	19%	7%
Unfavorable	15	3	6	2	4
	4%	4%	14%	8%	27%
		20%	40%	13%	27%
Unfam/No	299	43	20	7	5
opin/DK	75%	57%	45%	28%	33%
		14%	7%	2%	2%
Mean	4.6	3.9	3.6	2.8	3.4
Chi Square		-	18	3.	
		.1	24	.1	97

Table 13: FAVORABILITY: Bill Vidal

		Ge	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likel	ihood		Age		Labor ho	ousehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Favorable	84	39	45	55	13	16	63	21	15	40	27	12	72	68	2	11
	21%	22%	21%	24%	15%	20%	23%	16%	16%	24%	21%	32%	20%	22%	7%	23%
		46%	54%	65%	15%	19%	75%	25%	18%	48%	32%	14%	86%	81%	2%	13%
Unfavorable	16	8	8	9	6	1	12	4	1	9	6	1	15	11	1	3
	4%	4%	4%	4%	7%	1%	4%	3%	1%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	6%
		50%	50%	56%	38%	6%	75%	25%	6%	56%	38%	6%	94%	69%	6%	19%
Unfam/No	300	134	166	170	67	63	195	105	76	120	98	24	270	230	27	33
opin/DK	75%	74%	76%	73%	78%	79%	72%	81%	83%	71%	75%	65%	76%	74%	90%	70%
op		45%	55%	57%	22%	21%	65%	35%	25%	40%	33%	8%	90%	77%	9%	11%
Mean	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.4	4.7	4.2	4.7	4.6	5.2	4.4
Chi Square			.23		6.01			42		5.37			07 15		5.13	<u>l</u>

Table 13b: FAVORABILITY: Bill Vidal

						Direct	tion of									
		N	lost impo	rtant issu	ıe	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Co	uncil Dist	rict		
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong									
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central	
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%	
Favorable	84 21%	28 19% 33%	21 21% 25%	19 28% 23%	12 18% 14%	65 25% 77%	11 12% 13%	20 19% 24%	30 20% 36%	34 23% 40%	11 15% 13%	9 13% 11%	23 25% 27%	17 24% 20%	24 26% 29%	
Unfavorable	16 4%	4 3% 25%	5 5% 31%	2 3% 13%	5 8% 31%	4 2% 25%	10 11% 63%	4 4% 25%	5 3% 31%	7 5% 44%	4 5% 25%	7 10% 44%	1 1% 6%	3 4% 19%	1 1% 6%	
Unfam/No opin/DK	300 75%	117 79% 39%	73 74% 24%	48 70% 16%	49 74% 16%	192 74% 64%	74 78% 25%	81 77% 27%	115 77% 38%	104 72% 35%	60 80% 20%	53 77% 18%	68 74% 23%	52 72% 17%	67 73% 22%	
Mean	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.5	
Chi Square	5.62 .467						.29		1.43 .839			·	16.76 .033			

Table 13c: FAVORABILITY: Bill Vidal

		Hard														
		Name	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVOR	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:
		ID	Hicker	looper	We	bb	Pe	na	Ror	mer	Me	ejia	Han	cock	Link	hart
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
		32%	110	13%	040	1/0	39%	190	40%	110	20%	0.0	220	4.0	210	9%
Favorable	84	5	73	8	66	8	64	12	50	11	46	5	35	7	30	10
	21%	4%	24%	15%	26%	12%	27%	16%	31%	24%	45%	23%	41%	47%	35%	29%
		6%	87%	10%	79%	10%	76%	14%	60%	13%	55%	6%	42%	8%	36%	12%
Unfavorable	16	1	10	6	9	6	8	6	8	5	3	4	3	4	2	10
	4%	1%	3%	12%	4%	9%	3%	8%	5%	11%	3%	18%	3%	27%	2%	29%
		6%	63%	38%	56%	38%	50%	38%	50%	31%	19%	25%	19%	25%	13%	63%
Unfam/No	300	120	225	38	182	52	162	58	102	29	53	13	48	4	53	14
opin/DK	75%	95%	73%	73%	71%	79%	69%	76%	64%	64%	52%	59%	56%	27%	62%	41%
Sp 2. (		40%	75%	13%	61%	17%	54%	19%	34%	10%	18%	4%	16%	1%	18%	5%
Mean	4.6	5.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.4	4.8	4.2	4.4	3.7	4.3	3.8	3.5	4.1	3.9
Chi Square				25	8.		6.			62	9.		12			.82
•			.0	16	.0	17	.0	48	.2	70	.0	07	.0	02	.0	01

Table 13d: FAVORABILITY: Bill Vidal

		FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
Favorable	84	30	17	13	5
	21%	40%	39%	52%	33%
		36%	20%	15%	6%
Unfavorable	16	2	9	4	6
	4%	3%	20%	16%	40%
		13%	56%	25%	38%
Unfam/No	300	43	18	8	4
opin/DK	75%	57%	41%	32%	27%
'		14%	6%	3%	1%
Mean	4.6	3.9	3.7	3.3	3.7
Chi Square		10.	.96	2.	97
		.0	04	.2	26

Table 14: FAVORABILITY: Doug Linkhart

		Ger	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likeli	ihood		Age		Labor ho	usehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Favorable	85	28	57	61	14	10	63	22	13	35	36	7	77	75	3	6
	21%	15%	26%	26%	16%	13%	23%	17%	14%	21%	27%	19%	22%	24%	10%	13%
		33%	67%	72%	16%	12%	74%	26%	15%	41%	42%	8%	91%	88%	4%	7%
Unfavorable	34	15	19	19	10	5	28	6	4	18	12	4	29	26	1	5
Offiavorable	9%	8%	9%	8%	12%	6%	10%	5%	4%	11%	9%	11%	8%	8%	3%	11%
	3 0	44%	56%	56%	29%	15%	82%	18%	12%	53%	35%	12%	85%	76%	3%	15%
Unfam/No	281	138	143	154	62	65	179	102	75	116	83	26	251	208	26	36
opin/DK	70%	76%	65%	66%	72%	81%	66%	78%	82%	69%	63%	70%	70%	67%	87%	77%
		49%	51%	55%	22%	23%	64%	36%	27%	41%	30%	9%	89%	74%	9%	13%
Mean	4.5	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	5.1	4.6
Chi Square			91		10.02			96		9.97		0.			7.56	
		.0	132		.040		.0	31		.041		.8	19		.109	

Table 14b: FAVORABILITY: Doug Linkhart

							tion of								
		N	1ost impo	ortant issu	ıe	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Coi	uncil Dist	rict	
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong								
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Favorable	85	24	25	15	16	57	20	17	21	47	13	12	24	12	24
	21%	16% 28%	25% 29%	22% 18%	24% 19%	22% 67%	21% 24%	16% 20%	14% 25%	32% 55%	17% 15%	17% 14%	26% 28%	17% 14%	26% 28%
Unfavorable	34	13	6	8	6	20	12	5	15	14	5	8	6	10	5
	9%	9% 38%	6% 18%	12% 24%	9% 18%	8 % 5 9 %	13% 35%	5% 15%	10% 44%	10% 41%	7% 15%	12% 24%	7% 18%	14% 29%	5% 15%
Unfam/No	281	112	68	46	44	184	63	83	114	84	57	49	62	50	63
opin/DK	70%	75% 40%	69% 24%	67% 16%	67% 16%	70% 65%	66% 22%	79% 30%	76% 41%	58% 30%	76% 20%	71% 17%	67% 22%	69% 18%	68% 22%
Mean	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.1	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.4
Chi Square				.18 521			11		20.83				9.25 .322		

Table 14c: FAVORABILITY: Doug Linkhart

		Hard														
		Name	FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVOR	ABILITY:	<b>FAVOR</b>	ABILITY:								
		ID	Hicken	looper	We	ebb	Pe	na	Ror	mer	Me	jia	Han	cock	Link	hart
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav										
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Favorable	85 21%	0 0왕	75 24%	7	63 25%	14 21%	65 28%	11 14%	57 36%	10 22%	35 34%	7 32%	29 34%	4 27%	85 100%	0 0%
	210	0%	88%	8%	74%	16%	76%	13%	67%	12%	41%	8%	34%	5%	100%	0%
Unfavorable	34	0	22	12	23	9	15	14	19	8	11	8	12	5	0	34
	9%	0% 0%	7% 65%	23% 35%	9% 68%	14% 26%	6% 44%	18% 41%	12% 56%	18% 24%	11% 32%	36% 24%	14% 35%	33% 15%	0% 0%	100% 100%
Unfam/No	281	126	211	33	171	43	154	51	84	27	56	7	45	6	0	0
opin/DK	70%	100% 45%	69% 75%	63% 12%	67% 61%	65% 15%	66% 55%	67% 18%	53% 30%	60% 10%	55% 20%	32% 2%	52% 16%	40% 2%	0% 0%	0 % 0 %
Mean	4.5	5.5	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.7	3.9	4.4	3.9	3.5	4.0	3.9	1.6	3.7
Chi Square			14.	.44 01	1.			.00 02		22 99	9. .0			43 80		.00

Table 14d: FAVORABILITY: Doug Linkhart

		FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
Favorable	85	38	11	12	4
	21%	51%	25%	48%	27%
		45%	13%	14%	5%
Unfavorable	34	3	18	6	10
	9%	4%	41%	24%	67%
		9%	53%	18%	29%
Unfam/No	281	34	15	7	1
opin/DK	70%	45%	34%	28%	7%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		12%	5%	2%	0%
Mean	4.5	3.3	3.9	3.2	3.5
Chi Square		26.	.70	7.	
		.0	01	.0	24

Table 15: FAVORABILITY: Carol Boigon

		Ger	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likeli	hood		Age		Labor ho	usehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Favorable	75	28	47	56	12	7	54	21	10	34	29	10	64	63	3	8
	19%	15%	21%	24%	14%	9%	20%	16%	11%	20%	22%	27%	18%	20%	10%	17%
		37%	63%	75%	16%	9%	72%	28%	13%	45%	39%	13%	85%	84%	4%	11%
Linfoverable	44	21	23	25	13	6	35	9	8	21	14	4	40	37	1	6
Unfavorable	11%	12%	11%	11%	15%	8%	13%	7%	9%	12%	11%	11%	11%	12%	3%	13%
	11.0	48%	52%	57%	30%	14%	80%	20%	18%	48%	32%	9%	91%	84%	2%	14%
		100	320	370	300	110	000	200	100	100	320	30	310	010	20	110
Unfam/No	281	132	149	153	61	67	181	100	74	114	88	23	253	209	26	33
opin/DK	70%	73%	68%	65%	71%	84%	67%	77%	80%	67%	67%	62%	71%	68%	87%	70%
op.ii./Bit		47%	53%	54%	22%	24%	64%	36%	26%	41%	31%	8%	90%	74%	9%	12%
Mean	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.8	5.0	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.6	4.5	5.0	4.6
Chi Square		2.	34	13.78			82		6.53		1.			4.98	*	
•		.3	10		.008		.0	90		.163		.3	97		.290	

Table 15b: FAVORABILITY: Carol Boigon

							tion of								
		N	1ost impo	rtant issu	ıe	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Cou	uncil Dist	rict	
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong								
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Favorable	75	30	18	14	12	55	16	13	24	38	11	15	16	9	24
	19%	20% 40%	18% 24%	20% 19%	18% 16%	21% 73%	17% 21%	12% 17%	16% 32%	26% 51%	15% 15%	228 208	17% 21%	13% 12%	26% 32%
Unfavorable	44	20	10	6	8	22	17	10	16	18	6	6	12	9	11
	11%	13% 45%	10% 23%	9% 14%	12% 18%	8% 50%	18% 39%	10% 23%	11% 36%	12% 41%	8% 14%	9% 14%	13% 27%	13% 20%	12% 25%
Unfam/No	281	99	71	49	46	184	62	82	110	89	58	48	64	54	57
opin/DK	70%	66% 35%	72% 25%	71% 17%	70% 16%	70% 65%	65% 22%	78% 29%	73% 39%	61% 32%	77% 21%	70% 17%	70% 23%	75% 19%	62% 20%
Mean	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.3
Chi Square				63 951			60 37		10.41				8.43 .393		

Table 15c: FAVORABILITY: Carol Boigon

		Hard Name	_		_		_		_		FAVORA		_		_	
		ID	Hicken	llooper	We	bb	Pe	na	Ror	mer	Me	ejia	Han	cock	Link	thart
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126	308	52	257	66	234	76	160	45	102	22	86	15	85	34
		32%	77%	13%	64%	17%	59%	19%	40%	11%	26%	6%	22%	4%	21%	9%
Favorable	75	0	67	6	62	5	52	11	46	7	38	5	29	3	38	3
	19%	0%	22%	12%	24%	8%	22%	14%	29%	16%	37%	23%	34%	20%	45%	9%
		0%	89%	8%	83%	7%	69%	15%	61%	9%	51%	7%	39%	4%	51%	4%
Unfavorable	44	0	25	17	25	13	21	17	22	11	14	11	18	6	11	18
	11%	0%	8%	33%	10%	20%	9%	22%	14%	24%	14%	50%	21%	40%	13%	53%
		0%	57%	39%	57%	30%	48%	39%	50%	25%	32%	25%	41%	14%	25%	41%
Unfam/No	281	126	216	29	170	48	161	48	92	27	50	6	39	6	36	13
opin/DK	70%	100%	70%	56%	66%	73%	69%	63%	58%	60%	49%	27%	45%	40%	42%	38%
opiii/Bit		45%	77%	10%	60%	17%	57%	17%	33%	10%	18%	2%	14%	2%	13%	5%
Mean	4.6	5.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.5	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.5	4.3
Chi Square			26.	.67 01	11.	71		. 36 06		90 86	14.			80		.12

Table 15d: FAVORABILITY: Carol Boigon

		FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
		19%	11%	6%	4%
Favorable	75	75	0	8	1
	19%	100%	0%	32%	7%
		100%	0%	11%	1%
Unfavorable	44	0	44	9	9
	11%	0%	100%	36%	60%
		0%	100%	20%	20%
Unfam/No	281	0	0	8	5
opin/DK	70%	0%	0%	32%	33%
		0%	0%	3%	2%
Mean	4.6	1.7	3.4	3.5	4.3
Chi Square		119	.00	3.	88
_		.0	01	.1	44

Table 16: FAVORABILITY: Theresa Spahn

		Ger	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likeli	hood		Age		Labor ho	usehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Favorable	25	11	14	17	3	5	20	5	4	13	8	2	23	21	0	4
	6%	6%	6%	7%	3%	6%	7%	4%	4%	8%	6%	5%	6%	7%	0%	9%
		44%	56%	68%	12%	20%	80%	20%	16%	52%	32%	8%	92%	84%	0%	16%
_			_													
Unfavorable	15	8	7	9	4	2	12	3	2	6	7	1	13	10	1	3
	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	6%
		53%	47%	60%	27%	13%	80%	20%	13%	40%	47%	7%	87%	67%	7%	20%
Unfam/No	360	162	198	208	79	73	238	122	86	150	116	34	321	278	29	40
opin/DK	90%	90%	90%	89%	92%	91%	88%	94%	93%	89%	89%	92%	90%	90%	97%	85%
Ории ДК		45%	55%	58%	22%	20%	66%	34%	24%	42%	32%	9%	89%	77%	8%	11%
Mean	5.1	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.0	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.4	4.9
Chi Square			42		2.04		3.			2.73		0.			3.69	
		.8	10		.728		.2	05		.604		.9	26		.450	

Table 16b: FAVORABILITY: Theresa Spahn

							tion of								
		N	1ost impo	ortant issu	ıe	Der	nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Cou	uncil Dist	rict	
		Jobs/		City		Right	Wrong								
	Total	Econ	Educ	budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105 26%	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Favorable	25	9	9	1	5	15	7	7	7	11	4	3	6	7	5
	6%	6% 36%	9% 36%	18 48	8% 20%	6% 60%	7% 28%	7% 28%	5% 28%	8 % 4 4 %	5% 16%	4% 12%	7% 24%	10% 28%	5% 20%
Unfavorable	15	5	2	6	2	6	7	7	4	4	3	3	1	3	5
	4%	3% 33%	2% 13%	9% 40%	3% 13%	2% 40%	7% 47%	7% 47%	3% 27%	3% 27%	4% 20%	4% 20%	1% 7%	4% 20%	5% 33%
Unfam/No	360	135	88	62	59	240	81	91	139	130	68	63	85	62	82
opin/DK	90%	91% 38%	89% 24%	90% 17%	89% 16%	92% 67%	85% 23%	87% 25%	93% 39%	90% 36%	91% 19%	91% 18%	92% 24%	86% 17%	89% 23%
Mean	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.3	5.1	5.2	5.0	5.0	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.3	5.0	5.1
Chi Square				.21 .62			54 63		4.53 .339				4.75 .784		

Table 16c: FAVORABILITY: Theresa Spahn

		Hard Name ID		ABILITY:	FAVORA We		FAVOR/		_	ABILITY:	FAVORA Me		_	ABILITY:	_	ABILITY:
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Favorable	25 6%	0 0% 0%	20 6% 80%	5 10% 20%	15 6% 60%	4 6% 16%	18 8% 72%	3 4% 12%	17 11% 68%	4 9% 16%	12 12% 48%	1 5% 4%	16 19% 64%	2 13% 8%	12 14% 48%	6 18% 24%
Unfavorable	15 4%	0 0% 0%	9 3% 60%	6 12% 40%	9 4% 60%	5 8% 33%	6 3% 40%	6 8% 40%	10 6% 67%	3 7% 20%	8 8% 53%	4 18% 27%	6 7% 40%	4 27% 27%	4 5% 27%	10 29% 67%
Unfam/No opin/DK	360 90%	126 100% 35%	279 91% 78%	41 79% 11%	233 91% 65%	57 86% 16%	210 90% 58%	67 88% 19%	133 83% 37%	38 84% 11%	82 80% 23%	17 77% 5%	64 74% 18%	9 60% 3%	69 81% 19%	18 53% 5%
Mean	5.1	5.5	5.1	4.9	5.1	5.0	5.1	5.2	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.4	4.8	4.2
Chi Square				24 10	2.	12 46	5. .0	42 67	0.	12 42	2.	92 32	5. .0	57 62		.45 01

Table 16d: FAVORABILITY: Theresa Spahn

		FAVORA	ABILITY:	FAVORA	ABILITY:		
		Boi	gon	Spa	ahn		
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav		
Base	400	75	44	25	15		
		19%	11%	6%	4%		
Favorable	25	8	9	25	0		
	6%	11%	20%	100%	0%		
		32%	36%	100%	0%		
Unfavorable	15	1	9	0	15		
	4%	1%	20%	0%	100%		
		7%	60%	0%	100%		
Unfam/No	360	66	26	0	0		
opin/DK	90%	888	59%	0%	0%		
Ориили		18%	7%	0%	0%		
Mean	5.1	5.0	4.4	1.7	3.5		
Chi Square		16.		40.00			
·		.0	01	.0	01		

Table 17: Most important for the next Mayor of Denver?

		Ge	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likel	ihood		Age		Labor ho	ousehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
						•		Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181 45%	219 55%	234 59%	86 22%	80 20%	270 68%	130 33%	92 23%	169 42%	131 33%	37 9%	357 89%	309 77%	30 8%	47 12%
Economy and jobs	149 37%	60 33% 40%	89 41% 60%	86 37% 58%	27 31% 18%	36 45% 24%	103 38% 69%	46 35% 31%	30 33% 20%	75 44% 50%	44 34% 30%	15 41% 10%	132 37% 89%	121 39% 81%	13 43% 9%	14 30% 9%
Improving education in Denver	99 25%	40 22% 40%	59 27% 60%	65 28% 66%	15 17% 15%	19 24% 19%	61 23% 62%	38 29% 38%	38 41% 38%	31 18% 31%	27 21% 27%	5 14% 5%	92 26% 93%	74 24% 75%	7 23% 7%	13 28% 13%
Balancing the city budget	69 17%	39 22% 57%	30 14% 43%	28 12% 41%	27 31% 39%	14 18% 20%	51 19% 74%	18 14% 26%	12 13% 17%	27 16% 39%	28 21% 41%	5 14% 7%	63 18% 91%	55 18% 80%	3 10% 4%	9 19% 13%
Transportation and transit issues	21 5%	14 8% 67%	7 3% 33%	11 5% 52%	4 5% 19%	6 8% 29%	10 4% 48%	11 8% 52%	6 7% 29%	8 5% 38%	5 4% 24%	3 8% 14%	18 5% 86%	16 5% 76%	2 7% 10%	2 4% 10%
Improving delivery of basic city services	21 5%	12 7% 57%	9 4% 43%	17 7% 81%	3 3% 14%	1 1% 5%	15 6% 71%	6 5% 29%	3 3% 14%	12 7% 57%	6 5% 29%	4 11% 19%	17 5% 81%	15 5% 71%	2 7% 10%	1 2% 5%
Something else	24 6%	12 7% 50%	12 5% 50%	15 6% 63%	5 6% 21%	4 5% 17%	19 78 798	5 4% 21%	3 3% 13%	11 7% 46%	9 7% 38%	1 3% 4%	22 6% 92%	14 5% 58%	3 10% 13%	6 13% 25%
Unsure/DK	17 4%	4 2% 24%	13 6% 76%	12 5% 71%	5 6% 29%	0 0% 0%	11 4% 65%	6 5% 35%	0 0% 0%	5 3% 29%	12 9% 71%	4 11% 24%	13 4% 76%	14 5% 82%	0 0% 0%	2 4% 12%
Mean	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.3	2.8	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.6	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.5
Chi Square			.51 )24		28.86			52 03		35.48 .001		10.	.07 22		10.47 .574	

Table 17b: Most important for the next Mayor of Denver?

		_					ion of								
		Jobs/	lost impo		ie	Der Right	wer Wrong	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Co	uncil Distr	ict	
	Total	Econ	Educ	City budget	All other	directin	track	0/1 of 4	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	105	150 38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Economy and jobs	149 37%	149 100% 100%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	100 38% 67%	34 36% 23%	34 32% 23%	59 39% 40%	56 39% 38%	32 43% 21%	27 39% 18%	33 36% 22%	24 33% 16%	33 36% 22%
Improving education in Denver	99 25%	0 0% 0%	99 100% 100%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	73 28% 74%	18 19% 18%	39 37% 39%	33 22% 33%	27 19% 27%	12 16% 12%	11 16% 11%	24 26% 24%	27 38% 27%	25 27% 25%
Balancing the city budget	69 17%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	69 100% 100%	0 0% 0%	42 16% 61%	20 21% 29%	21 20% 30%	26 17% 38%	22 15% 32%	8 11% 12%	16 23% 23%	23 25% 33%	5 7% 7%	17 18% 25%
Transportation and transit issues	21 5%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	21 32% 100%	18 7% 86%	2 2% 10%	6 6% 29%	7 5% 33%	8 6% 38%	6 8% 29%	3 4% 14%	2 2% 10%	4 6% 19%	6 7% 29%
Improving delivery of basic city services	21 5%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	21 32% 100%	9 3% 43%	7 7% 33%	3 3% 14%	9 6% 43%	9 6% 43%	6 8% 29%	4 6% 19%	2 2% 10%	3 4% 14%	6 7% 29%
Something else	24 6%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	24 36% 100%	11 4% 46%	9 9% 38%	1 1% 4%	11 7% 46%	12 8% 50%	9 12% 38%	4 6% 17%	5 5% 21%	5 7% 21%	1 1% 4%
Unsure/DK	17 4%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	0 0% 0%	8 3% 47%	5 5% 29%	1 1% 6%	5 3% 29%	11 8% 65%	2 3% 12%	4 6% 24%	3 3% 18%	4 6% 24%	4 4% 24%
Mean Chi Square	3.3	2.0	4.0 100		4.1	3.1	3.5 .90 45	3.1	3.2 25.57 .012	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.2 37.61 .038	3.5	3.2

Table 17c: Most important for the next Mayor of Denver?

		Hard Name ID	FAVORA Hicken		FAVORA We			ABILITY:		ABILITY:	FAVORA Me		FAVORA Han	ABILITY:		ABILITY:
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Economy and	149	56	113	23	106	20	87	32	51	17	34	8	30	6	24	13
jobs	37%	44% 38%	37% 76%	44% 15%	41% 71%	30% 13%	37% 58%	42% 21%	32% 34%	38% 11%	33% 23%	36% 5%	35% 20%	40% 4%	28% 16%	38% 9%
Improving	99	23	87	7	67	6	66	7	46	6	26	1	24	0	25	6
education in Denver	25%	18% 23%	28% 88%	13% 7%	26% 68%	9% 6%	28% 67%	9% 7%	29% 46%	13% 6%	25% 26%	5% 1%	28% 24%	0% 0%	29% 25%	18% 6%
Balancing the city budget	69 17%	22 17% 32%	51 17% 74%	7 13% 10%	36 14% 52%	18 27% 26%	32 14% 46%	18 24% 26%	29 18% 42%	8 18% 12%	17 17% 25%	7 32% 10%	15 17% 22%	5 33% 7%	15 18% 22%	8 24% 12%
Transportation	21	7	16	2	12	4	13	3	8	2	7	1	5	0	3	1
and transit issues	5%	6% 33%	5% 76%	4% 10%	5% 57%	6% 19%	6% 62%	4% 14%	5% 38%	4% 10%	7% 33%	5% 5%	6% 24%	0% 0%	4% 14%	3% 5%
Improving delivery of basic city services	21 5%	4 3% 19%	15 5% 71%	3 6% 14%	16 6% 76%	3 5% 14%	17 7% 81%	2 3% 10%	12 8% 57%	3 7% 14%	6 6% 29%	1 5% 5%	6 7% 29%	2 13% 10%	8 9% 38%	3 9% 14%
Something else	24 6%	10 8% 42%	13 4% 54%	7 13% 29%	11 4% 46%	10 15% 42%	8 3% 33%	10 13% 42%	6 4% 25%	7 16% 29%	6 6% 25%	4 18% 17%	2 2% 8%	1 7% 4%	5 6% 21%	2 6% 8%
Unsure/DK	17 4%	4 3% 24%	13 4% 76%	3 6% 18%	9 4% 53%	5 8% 29%	11 5% 65%	4 5% 24%	8 5% 47%	2 4% 12%	6 6% 35%	0 0% 0%	4 5% 24%	1 7% 6%	5 6% 29%	1 3% 6%
Mean	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.2
Chi Square			11.		25.0	.85 01		.42		.46 )75	10.		8.	35 14		96 14

Table 17d: Most important for the next Mayor of Denver?

				FAVORA	
	<b>T</b> ( )		gon	Spa	
_	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75 19%	44 11%	25 6%	15 4%
		230			
Economy and	149	30	20	9	5
jobs	37%	40%	45%	36%	33%
		20%	13%	6%	3%
Improving	99	18	10	9	2
education in	25%	24%	23%	36%	13%
Denver		18%	10%	9%	2%
Balancing the city	69	14	6	1	6
budget	17%	19%	14%	4%	40%
3.1		20%	9%	1%	9%
Transportation	21	5	1	0	1
and transit issues	5%	7%	2%	0%	7%
and transit issues		24%	5%	0%	5%
Improving	21	5	2	4	0
delivery of basic	5%	7%	5%	16%	0%
city services		24%	10%	19%	0%
Something else	24	2	5	1	1
	6%	3%	11%	4%	7%
		8%	21%	4%	4%
Unsure/DK	17	1	0	1	0
	4%	1%	0%	4%	0%
		6%	0%	6%	0%
Mean	3.3	3.0	3.2	3.7	2.9
Chi Square		6.	06	13.	. 51
Oni Square			17		36
	l .	1		1	

Table 18: HORSERACE: Mayor of Denver

		Ge	nder	Reg	istered P	arty	Likel	ihood		Age		Labor ho	ousehold	Race	and Eth	nicity
								Not								Hisp or
	Total	Male	Female	DEM	GOP	UNA	Certain	certain	18-44	45-64	65+	Yes	No	White	Af-AM	Latino
Base	400	181	219	234	86	80	270	130	92	169	131	37	357	309	30	47
		45%	55%	59%	22%	20%	68%	33%	23%	42%	33%	9%	89%	77%	8%	12%
Romer	87	45	42	55	13	19	65	22	18	37	32	8	77	70	5	10
	22%	25%	19%	24%	15%	24%	24%	17%	20%	22%	24%	22%	22%	23%	17%	21%
		52%	48%	63%	15%	22%	75%	25%	21%	43%	37%	9%	89%	80%	6%	11%
Mejia	39	21	18	26	8	5	29	10	7	27	5	5	34	30	2	4
•	10%	12%	8%	11%	9%	6%	11%	8%	8%	16%	4%	14%	10%	10%	7%	9%
		54%	46%	67%	21%	13%	74%	26%	18%	69%	13%	13%	87%	77%	5%	10%
Hancock	37	15	22	24	6	7	29	8	11	15	10	2	35	20	14	1
	9%	8%	10%	10%	7%	9%	11%	6%	12%	9%	8%	5%	10%	6%	47%	2%
		41%	59%	65%	16%	19%	78%	22%	30%	41%	27%	5%	95%	54%	38%	3%
Linkhart	28	9	19	23	4	1	20	8	5	11	12	3	25	26	0	1
	7%	5%	9%	10%	5%	1%	7%	6%	5%	7%	9%	8%	7%	8%	0%	2%
		32%	68%	82%	14%	4%	71%	29%	18%	39%	43%	11%	89%	93%	0%	4%
Boigon	20	6	14	14	4	2	17	3	4	7	8	1	19	15	1	.3
	5%	3%	6%	6%	5%	3%	6%	2%	4%	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%	3%	6%
		30%	70%	70%	20%	10%	85%	15%	20%	35%	40%	5%	95%	75%	5%	15%
Spahn	9	6	3	4	0	5	7	2	1	4	4	1	8	9	0	0
	2%	3%	1%	2%	0%	6%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	0%	0%
		67%	33%	44%	0%	56%	78%	22%	11%	44%	44%	11%	89%	100%	0%	0%
Other	20	11	9	8	11	1	11	9	3	6	10	1	19	15	1	4
	5%	6%	4%	3%	13%	1%	4%	7%	3%	4%	88	3%	5%	5%	3%	9%
		55%	45%	40%	55%	5%	55%	45%	15%	30%	50%	5%	95%	75%	5%	20%
Undecided/DK	160	68	92	80	40	40	92	68	43	62	50	16	140	124	7	24
	40%	38%	42%	34%	47%	50%	34%	52%	47%	37%	38%	43%	39%	40%	23%	51%
		43%	58%	50%	25%	25%	58%	43%	27%	39%	31%	10%	88%	78%	4%	15%
Mean	10.7	10.8	10.6	10.0	11.5	11.8	10.0	12.1	11.0	10.4	10.8	11.1	10.6	10.8	7.5	12.1
	= /		.71		39.72			.04		20.91			36		64.29	
Chi Square			206		.001			17		.104			37		.001	

Table 18b: HORSERACE: Mayor of Denver

						Direct	tion of								
			lost impo		ıe		nver	Off ye	ear vote h	istory		Co	uncil Distr	ict	Т
	T-4-1	Jobs/	<b>-</b>	City	A II - 41	Right	Wrong	0/4 - 5 4	0/0 - f 4	4 -5 4	N I /N I) A /	0)4/	0.5	NIE	041
-	Total 400	Econ	Educ		All other		track	0/1 of 4 105	2/3 of 4	4 of 4	N/NW	SW	SE	NE	Central
Base	400	149 37%	99 25%	69 17%	66 17%	261 65%	95 24%	26%	38%	145 36%	75 19%	69 17%	92 23%	72 18%	92 23%
Romer	87	27	27	16	13	60	18	18	34	35	9	21	28	12	17
	22%	18% 31%	27% 31%	23% 18%	20% 15%	23% 69%	19% 21%	17% 21%	23% 39%	24% 40%	12% 10%	30% 24%	30% 32%	17% 14%	18% 20%
Mejia	39	16	8	7	7	31	7	9	15	15	6	4	9	8	12
•	10%	11% 41%	8% 21%	10% 18%	11% 18%	12% 79%	7% 18%	9% 23%	10% 38%	10% 38%	8% 15%	6% 10%	10% 23%	11% 21%	13% 31%
Hancock	37	14	11	5	6	23	9	6	16	15	4	3	6	16	8
	9%	9% 38%	11% 30%	7% 14%	9% 16%	9% 62%	9% 24%	6% 16%	11% 43%	10% 41%	5% 11%	4% 8%	7% 16%	22% 43%	9% 22%
Linkhart	28	9	8	4	5	18	6	4	4	20	7	4	10	2	5
	7%	6% 32%	8% 29%	6% 14%	8% 18%	7% 64%	6% 21%	4% 14%	3% 14%	14% 71%	9% 25%	6% 14%	11% 36%	3% 7%	5% 18%
Boigon	20 5%	8 5% 40%	5 5% 25%	5 7% 25%	2 3% 10%	13 5% 65%	6 6% 30%	6 6% 30%	9 6% 45%	5 3% 25%	3 4% 15%	3 4% 15%	2 2% 10%	4 6% 20%	8 9% 40%
Spahn	9 2%	2 1% 22%	1 1% 11%	1 1% 11%	5 8% 56%	5 2% 56%	2 28 228	0 0% 0%	5 3% 56%	4 3% 44%	2 3% 22%	1 1% 11%	2 2% 22%	3 4% 33%	1 18 118
Other	20 5%	7 5% 35%	5 5% 25%	4 6% 20%	4 6% 20%	10 4% 50%	7 78 35%	8 8% 40%	6 4% 30%	6 4% 30%	2 3% 10%	5 7% 25%	3 3% 15%	2 3% 10%	8 9% 40%
Undecided/DK	160 40%	66 44% 41%	34 34% 21%	27 39% 17%	24 36% 15%	101 39% 63%	40 42% 25%	54 51% 34%	61 41% 38%	45 31% 28%	42 56% 26%	28 41% 18%	32 35% 20%	25 35% 16%	33 36% 21%
Mean	10.7	10.9	10.2	10.7	10.7	10.6	10.8	11.8	10.7	9.9	11.6	11.6	10.5	9.6	10.3
Chi Square			16 .7	.54 39		4.	19 58		31.45				52.27 .004		

Table 18c: HORSERACE: Mayor of Denver

		Hard Name ID	Hicken	looper	We	ebb	Pe	na	Roi	mer	Me	jia		cock	Link	hart
	Total	None	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	126 32%	308 77%	52 13%	257 64%	66 17%	234 59%	76 19%	160 40%	45 11%	102 26%	22 6%	86 22%	15 4%	85 21%	34 9%
Romer	87 22%	12 10% 14%	76 25% 87%	7 13% 8%	61 24% 70%	15 23% 17%	63 27% 72%	15 20% 17%	70 44% 80%	2 4% 2%	19 19% 22%	3 14% 3%	18 21% 21%	4 27% 5%	16 19% 18%	10 29% 11%
Mejia	39 10%	4 3% 10%	33 11% 85%	5 10% 13%	30 12% 77%	5 8% 13%	29 12% 74%	6 8% 15%	16 10% 41%	9 20% 23%	33 32% 85%	0 0% 0%	11 13% 28%	3 20% 8%	8 9% 21%	3 9% 8%
Hancock	37 9%	3 2% 8%	30 10% 81%	5 10% 14%	27 11% 73%	6 9% 16%	24 10% 65%	9 12% 24%	9 6% 24%	6 13% 16%	13 13% 35%	4 18% 11%	30 35% 81%	1 7% 3%	8 9% 22%	6 18% 16%
Linkhart	28 7%	3 2% 11%	27 9% 96%	1 2% 4%	20 8% 71%	1 2% 4%	20 9% 71%	3 4% 11%	11 7% 39%	3 7% 11%	9 9% 32%	2 9% 7%	4 5% 14%	2 13% 7%	25 29% 89%	0 0% 0%
Boigon	20 5%	2 2% 10%	15 5% 75%	2 4% 10%	16 6% 80%	0 0% 0%	11 5% 55%	3 4% 15%	8 5% 40%	2 4% 10%	5 5% 25%	3 14% 15%	4 5% 20%	1 7% 5%	3 4% 15%	2 6% 10%
Spahn	9 2%	2 2% 22%	6 2% 67%	2 4% 22%	5 2% 56%	3 5% 33%	5 2% 56%	2 3% 22%	4 3% 44%	3 7% 33%	4 4% 44%	1 5% 11%	3 3% 33%	0 0% 0%	3 4% 33%	2 6% 22%
Other	20 5%	8 6% 40%	12 4% 60%	6 12% 30%	7 3% 35%	8 12% 40%	7 3% 35%	6 8% 30%	2 1% 10%	6 13% 30%	3 3% 15%	3 14% 15%	2 2% 10%	0 0% 0%	1 1% 5%	2 6% 10%
Undecided/DK	160 40%	92 73% 58%	109 35% 68%	24 46% 15%	91 35% 57%	28 42% 18%	75 32% 47%	32 42% 20%	40 25% 25%	14 31% 9%	16 16% 10%	6 27% 4%	14 16% 9%	4 27% 3%	21 25% 13%	9 26% 6%
Mean	10.7	13.9	10.3	11.6	10.1	11.8	9.9	11.0	9.8	10.2	8.3	9.1	7.5	9.1	8.7	9.7
Chi Square			12.		20	.34 05		08 47		.63 101	15. .0			36 93		.46 31

Table 18d: HORSERACE: Mayor of Denver

		FAVORA Boi		FAVORA Spa	
	Total	Fav	Unfav	Fav	Unfav
Base	400	75	44	25	15
Busc		19%	11%	6%	4%
Romer	87	14	13	7	6
	22%	19%	30%	28%	40%
		16%	15%	8%	7%
Mejia	39	9	5	2	2
	10%	12%	11%	8%	13%
		23%	13%	5%	5%
Hancock	37	8	9	3	3
	9%	11%	20%	12%	20%
		22%	24%	8%	8%
Linkhart	28	9	2	0	1
	7%	12%	5%	0%	7%
		32%	7%	0%	4%
Boigon	20	14	0	1	0
3.	5%	19%	0%	4%	0%
		70%	0%	5%	0%
Spahn	9	1	1	6	0
- 1	2%	1%	2%	24%	0%
		11%	11%	67%	0%
Other	20	2	5	2	0
	5%	3%	11%	8%	0%
		10%	25%	10%	0%
Undecided/DK	160	18	9	4	3
	40%	24%	20%	16%	20%
		11%	6%	3%	2%
Moon	10.7	8.0	9.5	9.8	8.8
Mean Chi Cayara			.06	8.	
Chi Square			17		23 12
		. 0	± /		